

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF  
HIGHER EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK**

**HELD**

**DECEMBER 18, 1972**

**AT THE BOARD HEADQUARTERS BUILDING  
535 EAST 80 STREET - BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN**

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 5:40 p.m.

There were present:

Luis Quero-Chiesa, Chairman  
David I. Ashe  
Herbert Berman  
Frederick Burkhardt  
Alexander A. Delle Cese  
Jean-Louis d'Heilly  
Frederick O'R. Hayes  
Norman E. Henkin

Minneola P. Ingersoll  
Robert Ross Johnson  
James Oscar Lee  
Barbara A. Thacher  
Francisco Trilla  
Eve Weiss  
Nils Y. Wessell  
Arleigh B. Williamson

N. Michael Carfora, Secretary of the Board  
Arthur H. Kahn, General Counsel

Chancellor Robert J. Kibbee  
President Milton G. Bassin  
President William M. Birenbaum  
President James A. Colston  
President Candido A. de Leon  
President Edgar D. Draper  
President Leon M. Goldstein  
President John W. Kneller  
President Leonard Lief  
President Robert E. Marshak  
President Joseph S. Murphy  
Acting President Harold M. Proshansky  
President Donald H. Riddle  
President Kurt R. Schmeller

President Herbert Schueler  
President Joseph Shenker  
President Herbert M. Sussman  
President Richard D. Trent  
President Jacqueline G. Wexler  
President Clyde J. Wingfield  
Professor Nathan Weiner  
Mr. Alan R. Shark  
Deputy Chancellor Seymour C. Hyman  
Vice-Chancellor Julius C. C. Edelstein  
Vice-Chancellor Timothy S. Healy  
Vice-Chancellor J. Joseph Meng  
Vice-Chancellor Frank J. Schultz

The absence of Ms. Canino, Mr. DeNovellis, Mr. Morsell, Mr. Poses, and Mr. Reid was excused.

Upon motions duly made, seconded and carried, the following resolutions were adopted or action was taken as noted: (Calendar Nos. 1 through 9)

**NO. 1. CHANCELLOR'S REPORT:** RESOLVED, That the Chancellor's Report for the month of December 1972 (including Addendum Items) be approved as amended, as follows:

Items listed in PART H – ERRATA, to be withdrawn or changed, as indicated.

**NO. 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** RESOLVED, That the minutes of the Board of Higher Education for the following meetings be approved as circulated:

Executive Committee Meeting – October 11, 1972

Regular Board Meeting – October 24, 1972

**NO. 3. COMMITTEE ON LAW:** No report.

**NO. 4. COMMITTEE ON COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND STAFF RELATIONS:** RESOLVED, That the following resolution approved by the Committee on Collective Bargaining and Staff Relations be adopted:

**A. GITTLESON TITLE CONTRACT:**

RESOLVED, That the contract with Local 384, affiliated with District Council 37, A.F.S.C.M.E., covering employees in the titles College Office Assistant A, College Secretarial Assistant A, College Office Assistant B, College Secretarial Assistant B, and College Administrative Assistant, for the period July 1, 1972 to June 30, 1974, be approved subject to the final approval of the Mayor, and approval by the Federal Wage Stabilization Board.

EXPLANATION: This agreement was reached by a negotiating team representing the Mayor's Office of Labor Relations and the University in negotiations concluded on October 25. The agreement was ratified by the union on November 21, 1972.

Highlights of the agreement for College Office and Secretarial Assistants, and College Administrative Assistants for the period July 1, 1972 to June 30, 1974, follow:

A. ANNUAL SALARIES (Subject to Federal Payboard approval): General increases will be added to each employee's June 30th salary on July 1, 1972 and July 1, 1973. Minimum appointment rates and maximum salaries are also increased each July 1.

Eff. Date	"A's"		"B's"		"C's"	
	General Increase	Range	General Increase	Range	General Increase	Range
Prior to: 7/1/72		6600-9060		8000-10,665		9500-13,050
7/1/72	650	6900-9710	750	8300-11,415	850	9800-13,900
7/1/73	650	7200-10,360	750	8600-12,165	850	10,100-14,750

Minimum promotional increases of \$450 ("A" to "B") and \$525 ("B" to "C") will continue unless a greater increase is needed to bring employees to the minimum salary of the new title.

**B. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT:**

1. Participation in the employee's Health and Welfare Fund will continue to be in accordance with the City-wide contract which provides a contribution rate of \$250 per employee through June 30, 1973.

2. An inter-college transfer policy will remain in effect for the life of the contract.
3. The matter of adequate security measures for employees is being referred to the Labor-Management Committee.
4. Employees may be permitted to "save" unscheduled holidays until the November 1st following the year in which they occur, thus making these days available for use for religious holidays which occur in the early fall.
5. The language with regard to Educational Opportunities (Tuition Exemption) has been clarified. The union has accepted an arbitration decision which as a general rule excludes courses during lunch hours from tuition exemption.
6. An article is included which recognizes the mutual obligation of labor and management to provide the most efficient, effective and courteous service.
7. An article on Fair Employment Practices prohibits discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, in accordance with university policy and federal requirements.
8. All other terms of previous contracts, bylaws, etc. remain in effect.

**NO. 5. COMMITTEE ON CAMPUS PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT:** (I) RESOLVED, That the following resolution approved by the Committee on Campus Planning and Development at its meeting held November 20, 1972, be adopted:

**A. RENTAL OF SPACE—LAGUARDIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve the rental of 70,628 square feet of space at 31-11 Thomson Avenue, Queens, for use by LaGuardia Community College; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Department of Real Estate be requested to execute a lease for the aforementioned space.

EXPLANATION: The space will provide thirty classrooms, two lecture halls, five laboratories, counseling and conference rooms, a small library and cafeteria and lounges for faculty, staff and students.

A lease has been negotiated for the subject premises for a fifteen year period at an annual rental cost of \$360,909.08 (\$5.11/S.F.). The lease further provides that the landlord will renovate the premises in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the college and approved by the Dean for Campus Planning and Development. Landlord will also provide heating and air conditioning from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and from 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Saturdays. Landlord will be responsible for all structural repairs and maintenance and will paint the subject premises in five-year cycles.

Tenant is responsible for the cost of all electricity and will absorb its proportionate share of all real estate tax increases and new assessments above the base year 1972/73. Tenant will also provide his own cleaning.

(II) RESOLVED, That the following items approved by the Committee on Campus Planning and Development on December 11, 1972, be adopted:

**SENIOR COLLEGES**

**(a) AIR CONDITIONING AND EXPANSION OF TELEPHONE SYSTEM (PAX)—QUEENS COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve the Preliminary Plans, Outline Specifications and Preliminary Estimate of Cost for Air Conditioning and Expansion of Existing Internal Telephone System (Pax) at Remsen Hall, Queens College, as prepared by Saverio Cafarelli, Consulting Engineer of New York City. The Preliminary Estimate of Cost of Construction for these two projects is \$752,000 based on present day costs including contingencies; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Director of the Budget be requested to approve said documents with a total cost limitation of \$800,000 which includes 6.4% escalation to an estimated bid date of November 1, 1973 chargeable to Capital Project HN-203.

EXPLANATION: The two projects mentioned above were items in a contract with the Consultant which included ten items and was approved by the Bureau of the Budget May 5, 1971. Cost Limitations for eight of the ten projects excluding the two in question were requested by the Board and approved by the Bureau of the Budget May 3, 1972. Now after further study due to estimates beyond the original estimates in the contract for the Consultant these last two are submitted. The comparisons are:

	CONTRACT CONTRACT ESTIMATE 3/5/71	PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE 11/1/72
1. Air Conditioning Remsen Hall	\$450,000	\$710,000
2. Expansion of Telephone System (Pax)	15,000	42,000
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$465,000</b>	<b>\$752,000</b>

The difference in item 1 is due to an estimate being made roughly to arrive at a total fee before a proper study could be made of all the conditions affecting the design of the system. The difference in item 2 is due to the original estimate presented being confined only to materials and equipment and leaving out installation. The present documents and estimates have been reviewed by the College and Central Office staffs and have been found satisfactory.

**(b) ALTERATIONS AND SELECTION OF ARCHITECT—RICHMOND COLLEGE: Item withdrawn.**

**(c) REHABILITATION OF COMBUSTION CONTROLS—QUEENS COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve Preliminary Plans, Outline Specifications and Preliminary Estimate of Cost for rehabilitation of combustion controls for three Combustion Engineering Boilers at Queens College as prepared by Syska and Hennessy, Consulting Engineers. The Preliminary Estimate of Cost of Construction is \$217,229 based on August 1972 costs; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Director of the Budget be requested to approve said documents with a total cost limitation of \$233,370 (which includes a year's escalation of 6.7% and 5% for contingencies), chargeable to Capital Project HN-203.

EXPLANATION: A contract for redesign of the combustion controls of boilers of the existing Plant at Queens College was entered into by the Board with Syska & Hennessy on October 29, 1971. Preliminary drawings, specifications and estimates are now complete.

The purpose of the project is to replace manually operated boiler combustion controls, which are 20 years old and in violation of the New York City Code, by new and more efficient semi-automatic ones which comply with code requirements. The contract documents and estimates have been reviewed by the staff of the Office of Campus Planning and Development and found satisfactory.

**(d) AMENDMENT OF MINUTES—THE CITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board amend its action of 4/24/72 (Cal. No. 5A) which approved the renovation of Baskerville and Wingate Halls and the construction of outdoor athletic field at City College to limit such approval to the renovation of Baskerville and Wingate Halls, thereby reducing the estimated project cost from \$3,685,375 to \$3,367,025; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund concur and authorize the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York to take appropriate steps to effect the above amendment; and be it further

RESOLVED, That Note Facility C11.05 made part of the Note Project by Supplemental Note Agreement No. 22 be revised accordingly; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board approve the selection of John L. Kassner & Co., Inc., Landscape Architects, for the design of an outdoor athletic field on the South Campus of City College; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund be requested to authorize the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York to take appropriate steps to employ said architect; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the following items are hereby approved and shall be made a part of the Note Project (City University Note Issue) by appropriate inclusion in a future Supplemental Note Agreement supplementing the Note Agreement by and among the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, the City University Construction Fund and the Board of Higher Education of the City of New York, dated as of June 12, 1967. The Chairman of the Board of Higher Education of the City of New York is hereby authorized and directed to execute a Supplemental Note Agreement including such items and to cause the seal of such Board to be affixed thereto. The Secretary of such Board is hereby authorized and directed to affix his signature thereto in attestation of such seal; and be it further

RESOLVED, That notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution, prior to the execution of any such Supplemental Note Agreement, changes, insertions and omissions may be made to the description of such items as hereinafter set forth as may be approved by the Chairman of the Board of Higher Education of the City of New York, and the execution by said chairman of any such Supplemental Note Agreement containing such items with such changes, insertions and omissions shall be conclusive evidence of such approval; and be it further

RESOLVED, That such items are as follows:

Note Facility:

Description	Location
Athletic Field construction including football, soccer and lacrosse fields, surrounded with all weather running track and contiguous landscaping.	On City College South Campus, South of Finley Student Center.

Estimated Cost: \$40,000. (Planning and miscellaneous costs only)

Estimated Completion Date: March 1975

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the item hereinabove set forth is included in the Master Plan of the City University as approved by the Board of Regents and incorporated into the Regents Plan or general revision thereof for the expansion and development of higher education in the state and as thereafter approved by the Governor, and that with respect to such item the appropriate reference thereto is as follows:

Included as an amendment to the 1968 Master Plan of The City University of New York as approved by the Board of Regents in April 1970 and by the Governor on November 10, 1970; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund and the Dormitory Authority are hereby requested to approve such item as hereinabove set forth and to take appropriate action to authorize the inclusion thereof in a future Supplemental Note Agreement; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

EXPLANATION: On April 24, 1972, the Board of Higher Education and on April 17, 1972, the City University Construction Fund approved a three part project for City College including (a) the renovation of Baskerville Hall, (b) the renovation of Wingate Hall, and (c) construction of outdoor athletic areas on the South Campus. In accordance with such authorization the Dormitory Authority employed an Architect for the first two of the above projects and the design of these renovations is now underway. At the request of the College, the University instructed the Authority to not proceed with the athletic field design, since the College had decided to rethink its program of requirements for the athletic area.

The College has now proposed that the project be reactivated and has determined that the athletic areas should include a multi-purpose area for football, soccer and lacrosse fields, etc., surrounded by an all weather track, related landscaping, etc.

The field will be the only outdoor athletic facility on campus. It will be used for instructional purposes, intramural sports, academic and community teams practice, recreational activities and programmed community activities.

The program area is approximately 100,000 square feet.

A tentative budget for the project, based upon figures included in the Master Plan and adjusted to current costs, is approximately \$400,000.

**(e) SURRENDER OF LAND--THE CITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board of Higher Education, on behalf of The City College of New York, hereby surrenders to the City of New York jurisdiction over a parcel of land now part of the campus of City College upon which it is intended to construct a North Campus Academic Center, with the understanding that said parcel of land will be conveyed by the City of New York to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, and upon the further understanding that said parcel to be so conveyed will be appropriately described by metes and bounds descriptions as shown by a survey to be prepared; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund be requested to request the Board of Estimate of the City of New York to convey to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York the aforesaid parcel in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 782 of the Laws of 1966 in order to permit said Authority to construct thereon the new Academic Center.

EXPLANATION: This resolution is necessitated by a section of the City University Construction Fund act which provides that, before the Dormitory Authority may proceed to erect buildings upon lands hitherto assigned to the Board of Higher Education, such lands must be conveyed to the Dormitory Authority in the manner prescribed by law.

**(f) SURRENDER OF LAND--LEHMAN COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board of Higher Education, on behalf of Lehman College, hereby surrenders to the City of New York jurisdiction over a parcel of land now part of the campus of Lehman College upon which is constructed Shuster Hall, which is to be renovated, with the understanding that said parcel of land will be conveyed by the City of New York to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, and upon the further understanding that said parcel to be so conveyed will be appropriately described by metes and bounds descriptions as shown by a survey to be prepared; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund be requested to request the Board of Estimate of the City of New York to convey to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York the aforesaid parcel in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 782 of the Laws of 1966 in order to permit said Authority to proceed with the Shuster Hall renovation.

EXPLANATION: This resolution is necessitated by a section of the City University Construction Fund act which provides that, before the Dormitory Authority may proceed to erect buildings upon lands hitherto assigned to the Board of Higher Education, such lands must be conveyed to the Dormitory Authority in the manner prescribed by law.

**(g) RENTAL OF TEMPORARY BUILDING—LEHMAN COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve a contract for the rental of a 25,742 square foot temporary building to be located on the Lehman College campus for a total of five years at an annual rental cost of \$158,400 (\$6.15/S.F.), chargeable to the appropriate tax levy.

EXPLANATION: The proposed temporary building will provide twenty-two classrooms, two special nursing laboratories and office space for approximately sixty administrative and faculty personnel.

Contract documents for the rental of the temporary building were advertised by the college and five bids were received on November 20, 1972. The bid was on an annual rental basis for five years with the college having a no cost purchase option after the fifth year.

**(h) RENTAL OF SPACE—CENTRAL SERVICES: Item withdrawn.****(i) RENTAL OF SPACE—JOHN JAY COLLEGE:**

(1) RESOLVED, That the Board approve the rental of 5,000 square feet of space at 487 Park Avenue, Manhattan, for use by John Jay College; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Department of Real Estate be requested to execute a lease for the aforementioned space.

EXPLANATION: The space will provide offices and classrooms for John Jay's Thematic Studies Program which was initiated through the receipt, by the college, of a federal grant.

The Department of Real Estate has negotiated a lease for the subject premises for a period of up to one (1) year, on a month to month basis from February 1, 1973 at a monthly rental of \$2,291.66 (\$27,499.92 per annum, \$5.50/S.F.). Landlord will provide heat, hot and cold water, and elevator service during the hours from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Landlord will also pay taxes, water rates and sewer rents and will provide electricity.

Tenant shall pay to landlord as additional rent any increase in charges for electricity consumed by tenant in excess of fifty cents (\$.50) per square foot in accordance with findings established in periodic electric surveys.

(2) RESOLVED, That the Board approve the rental of 3,578 square feet of space at 315 Park Avenue South, Manhattan, for use by John Jay College; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Department of Real Estate be requested to execute a lease for the aforementioned space.

EXPLANATION: This space will be used for administrative offices on an interim basis until John Jay moves into either their permanent quarters in the former Miles Shoe Building at 445 West 59th Street or into rented space at the former 20th Century Fox facility at 444 West 56th Street.

The Department of Real Estate has negotiated a lease for the subject premises for a period of up to one (1) year, on a month to month basis from February 1, 1973 at a monthly rental of \$1,789 (\$21,468 per annum, \$6.00/S.F.). Landlord will provide heat, hot water, elevator service and cleaning. Landlord will also pay taxes, water rates and sewer rents. Tenant will pay for electricity consumed in the subject premises.

**COMMUNITY COLLEGES****(j) CONSTRUCTION OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING—QUEENSBOROUGH COMMUNITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve preliminary plans, outline specifications and preliminary estimates of cost of \$3,923,795 (as of October 1972) for construction of a new Business Administration Building as part of the proposed Phase I construction as per Master Plan, for Queensborough Community College, as prepared by Percival Goodman, Architect; and be it further

RESOLVED, That The Bureau of the Budget be and is hereby requested to approve said documents at a cost limitation of \$4,567,826 (including \$426,516 for escalation to projected bid date of February 1974 and \$217,515 for contingencies during construction).

EXPLANATION: The building delineated in the preliminary plans is basically steel with brick, metal and glass facade. Interior finishes and mechanical systems conform to our standards.

The building basically contains classrooms, offices, laboratories, study rooms, conference rooms and mechanical spaces, all in general conformity with the program of requirements.

The plans meet the approval of the College and the Office of Campus Planning and Development in all aspects of design and function.

The Master Plan Budget as of January 1971 based on a gross area of 71,250 square feet was . . . . . \$3,548,900

Cost reduction during development of plans:

Pro-rated cost reduction for chilled water equipment now centrally located in the Medical Arts Building. Based on a total cost for this equipment of \$787,667 and a total tonnage requirement of 1680 tons, the proportionate amount assignable to this building is:  
 $205/1680 \times \$787,667$  . . . . . 96,095

Revised January 1971 Budget . . . . . 3,452,805

Escalation Cost Factor from January 1971 to October 1972  
 $18.36\% \times \$3,452,805$  . . . . . 633,935

Total Master Plan adjusted budget as of October 1972 . . . . . 4,086,740

The decision to centralize the location of the chilled water plant in the Medical Arts Building was made for greater operating efficiency, a pro-rated cost reduction for this function is therefore made in this building.

The Architect's estimate of \$3,923,795 is lower than the Master Plan Adjusted Budget by \$162,945, and is therefore considered to be within our allowable project cost limits.

The cost of building construction, (excluding \$418,208 for site work), as of October 1972, is therefore \$3,505,587 divided by 72,500 gross square feet, or \$48.51 per square foot, which is considered reasonable for this type of building.

Both the Architect's Consultant and the Construction Manager, as well as the University and College technical staffs, believe that the building as designed is reasonable and economical and will accommodate its programmed functions.

On this basis it is recommended that the plans be accepted and that approval of the Budget Director be requested in order that final plans may be developed for this vitally needed facility.

**(k) SURRENDER OF LAND—KINGSBOROUGH COMMUNITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board of Higher Education, on behalf of Kingsborough Community College, Brooklyn, hereby surrenders to the City of New York jurisdiction over the parcel of land now used as the campus of Kingsborough Community College, Brooklyn, upon which it is intended to construct a new permanent campus, with the understanding that said parcel of land will be conveyed by the City of New York to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, and upon the further understanding that said parcel to be so conveyed will be appropriately described by metes and bounds descriptions as shown by a survey to be prepared; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the City University Construction Fund be requested to request the Board of Estimate of the City of New York to convey to the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York the aforesaid parcel in accordance with provisions of Chapter 782 of the Laws of 1966 as amended by Chapter 464 of the Laws of 1972 in order to permit said Authority to construct thereon the new permanent campus.



EXPLANATION: This resolution is necessitated by a section of the City University Construction Fund act which provides that, before the Dormitory Authority may proceed to erect buildings upon lands hitherto assigned to the Board of Higher Education, such land must be conveyed to the Dormitory Authority in the manner prescribed by law.

**(I) PHASE I CONSTRUCTION—QUEENSBOROUGH COMMUNITY COLLEGE:**

RESOLVED, That the Board approve preliminary plans, outline specifications and estimates of cost of \$4,105,408 (as of November 1972) for the construction of the following building packages:

1. Science Building (Alteration).
2. Technology Building (Alteration and Addition).
3. Aquatic Center (Alteration).

as part of the proposed Phase I Construction, at Queensborough Community College, as prepared by Armand Bartos & Associates, Architects; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Bureau of the Budget be and is hereby requested to approve said documents and estimate at a cost limitation of \$4,633,978 (based on estimate cost of \$4,105,408, as of November 1972 for items 1 through 3) to which is added \$307,905 for escalation to projected bid date of January 1974, and \$220,665 for contingencies during construction, chargeable to Capital Project HN-212.

EXPLANATION: Building package 1 (Science Building alteration) consists primarily of the existing building with related alterations and modifications necessary to accomplish the air conditioning work; in addition an existing basement room in the Science Building is being altered for installation of an accelerator for the Physics Department.

Building package 2 (Technology Building alteration and addition) as delineated in the preliminary plan consists of the addition of one new bay for offices to the west side of the existing building, constructed in steel and concrete and providing two new floors with parking space beneath. Alteration work consists primarily of mechanical work required for air conditioning the existing building.

Building package 3 (Aquatic Center alteration) consists of the construction of a new swimming pool located in an area of the existing building originally designed for this function, the balance of the work consists of alteration work to provide a support area (pool equipment) and fixed observation bleachers. All of the work is in strict conformity with the program requirements. The plans meet the approval of the College and the Office of Campus Planning and Development in all aspects of design and function.

The plans have received preliminary examination by the Building Department and conform to legal requirements for exits and stairs, subject of course to final examination of completed contract documents. The design has also received preliminary approval of the Art Commission of the City of New York which rules on aesthetic considerations.

A. The original Master Plan Budget as of January 1971 was:

1. Science Building Alteration . . . . .	\$3,466,300
2. Technology Building Alteration & Addition . . . . .	639,000
3. Aquatic Center Alteration . . . . .	958,000
Sub-Total . . . . .	\$5,063,300

B. Reductions:

Pro-rated cost reductions for packages 1 and 2 for chilled water equipment now centrally located in the Medical Building—based on tonnage:

1. Science Building Alteration 740/1680 X \$787,667=	\$346,573
2. Technology Building Alteration and Addition: 200/1680=	\$93,732

C. Additions:

Revamping campus electric service due to distribution voltage modification . . . . .	\$189,139
--	-----------

## D. Revised January 1971 Budget:

1. Science Building Alteration . . . . .	\$3,308,866
2. Technology Building Alteration and Addition . . . . .	\$545,268
3. Aquatic Center Alteration . . . . .	\$958,000
TOTAL . . . . .	\$4,812,134

## E. Total Master Plan Adjusted Budget as of November 1, 1972:

1. Science Building Alteration . . . . .	\$3,936,227
2. Technology Building Alteration and Addition . . . . .	\$648,651
3. Aquatic Center Alteration . . . . .	\$1,139,637
TOTAL . . . . .	\$5,724,515

## F. Architect's Estimates as of November 1972:

1. Science Building Alterations . . . . .	\$2,699,918
2. Technology Building Alteration and Addition . . . . .	\$827,621
3. Aquatic Center Alteration . . . . .	\$577,869
TOTAL . . . . .	\$4,105,408

The Architect's estimate of \$4,105,408 is lower than the Master Plan Adjusted Budget by \$1,619,107 and is therefore considered to be within our allowable total project cost limits.

Upon this basis, it is recommended that the plans be accepted and that approval of the Budget Director be requested in order that final plans may be developed for these vitally needed facilities.

**NO. 6. COMMITTEE ON THE ACADEMIC PROGRAM: RESOLVED,** That the following resolution approved by the Committee on the Academic Program be adopted:

**A. NURSING A.A.S. AGREEMENT:**

RESOLVED, That the Board of Higher Education resolution of January 22, 1968, Cal. No. 9, on transfer of Associate in Applied Science Nursing graduates be rescinded; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLVED, That graduates of City University community college A.A.S. nursing programs shall be admitted to a senior college nursing program, on a space available basis; and that these students shall have first priority over all non-community college graduates; and be it further

RESOLVED, That these students shall be assigned a priority for transfer based upon their grade point average and performance on the State Board (when available) as determined by the Office of Admission Services at the time of transfer application, and processed by that office; and be it further

RESOLVED, That all community college nursing graduates with an average above 2.50 who cannot be accommodated under this plan will have the option of entering on senior college as a matriculant in liberal arts.

EXPLANATION: The present Board of Higher Education resolution reads as follows:

RESOLVED, That, as of April 1, 1968, graduates of City University community college A.A.S. nursing programs who have attained a 2.50 index shall be admitted as matriculated students in the nursing program of the senior college of their choice.

Recently, the student demand for transfer in nursing from community college graduates who achieve a 2.50 index has been too great to be handled by the existing senior college nursing program facilities. Therefore the University can no longer guarantee transfer to this group of nursing students.

Nevertheless, the University wishes to determine those eligible for nursing transfer most equitably and to ensure that all students who have succeeded in their community college studies by achieving a 2.50 index should have the opportunity to pursue the college degree in liberal arts.

(Approved by the Council of Presidents 12/4/72.)

It was agreed that the Chancellor would report back to the Board at the February meeting re the University's nursing programs.

**B. STATEN ISLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE ACADEMIC EVALUATION:** Discussed in Executive Session.

**NO. 7. UNIVERSITY REPORT:** The Chancellor reported on the following:

I wanted to call your attention to one item that was in the printed Chancellor's report that may have escaped you:

F.16.1.1 The annual silver medal given since 1912 by the French government to an outstanding proponent of classical French cuisine in the United States was awarded to New York City Community College . . . A panel of culinary experts—members of the 1107-year-old society of French chefs in the U.S.—bestowed the honor after sampling cuisine specialties that had been prepared by chefs of 50 leading restaurants and hotels. The annual competition was a highlight of the National Hotel and Motel Exposition in the New York Coliseum. The winning entry, a classical French buffet table, was produced by culinary students and faculty members in the laboratory-kitchens of the Hotel and Restaurant Management Department.

I have read part of it for you because I think it is something the Board should take cognizance of.

I had hoped to be able to report to you in more definite terms about what might have transpired today in the meeting of the Fact-finding Committee. It is possible that Vice-Chancellor Newton is still at it or is so beat after the experience that he can't raise his head. Today was the first substantive meeting of the Fact-finding Board. There are several more sessions scheduled this week and then it stops until early January and then continues. Ten days have been set aside. We hope it will not take the full ten days. That might run into February. That is the current state of the proceedings.

A brief note on the budget. There is nothing really new to report. I had reported to you at the last meeting that the Mayor certified our budget for 1973-74. Since that time there have been a few events that have happened or are in the process of happening. Mr. Schultz and his staff have spent a good deal of time discussing the budget in a technical sense with the people in the Budget Office in Albany and several people in the Legislature. I went to Albany last week and had a meeting with Dr. Miller, Deputy Director of the State Budget Office. Our discussion ranged over a whole series of problems in a non-crisis atmosphere. We discussed the budget in the course of our conversations.

The Regents' legislative program has come out in which they recommend a number of things related to the City University. One is their support of the Wagner Commission recommendations looking to an increase in State aid. They put a hooker in that calling for the Governor to be represented on the Board of Higher Education, his representation increasing with any increase in the State's percentage contribution to CUNY. They also came out, as they have traditionally done, in favor of tuition at the City University. I wanted to mention that I am having a meeting with David Grossman, the Budget Director of the City, who is preparing recommendations for the Mayor as to City bills that might increase the future share of the State in our funding.

Somewhat related to that is a meeting of the Keppel Task Force, appointed by the Governor to study the financing of higher education by the State. They have been having a number of meetings with educational institutions. They have met with the Commissioner of Education. They met in the morning with Chancellor Boyer, and they met in the afternoon with me. I presented a number of written documents to the Commission, and Deputy Chancellor Hyman and I spent a whole afternoon presenting our position to the Task Force. I don't know what kind of value these presentations have. My gut reaction would be that they don't have too much effect. The Keppel Commission was set up with a purpose by the Governor, and it would be highly unlikely if the Keppel Task Force came out with recommendations that were clearly at variance with what the Governor would like to see. It may be that there will be a minority report. The meetings were amiable. They spoke of tuition. They discussed Open Admissions in a variety of ways. I am having the testimony I gave typed up in a slightly more acceptable form, and I will send it to each Board member in the next couple of days. It seemed to me that it went as well as we could expect and not too much better.

I did want to bring to your attention some things that are going on around the State. As you know, the Regents have declared a moratorium on all new doctoral programs in the State. Last year they set up a task force of persons from outside the State, a committee of reasonably distinguished people to make some recommendations to them as to what direction they should move in the development and support of doctoral education in the State. It is headed by President Fleming of the University of Michigan and has met with representatives of various colleges throughout the State. They came up with a set of guidelines and then they discussed these with representatives of the institutions around the State. Dr. Healy and Dr. Hyman met with them two weeks ago and went over these recommendations. They will come out with a recommendation to the Regents, and how much the Regents will accept, we have no way of knowing. I think it will be in the direction of beginning to pinpoint the State support of doctoral education to those programs which are judged to be excellent and the denial of support to those which seem to have neither substance nor promise. How this will develop I can't tell you, but I thought you would like to know it is going on.

A second matter of interest is really more difficult to evaluate because it seems to be part of a symphony that is related to the governance of higher education in the State of New York. As you know, the question of the relationship of the community colleges to the State University is of continuing interest to us and to others. A set of circumstances has occurred which makes this a much more heated and alive issue. It arises from the concerns of community colleges that exist in counties throughout the State where a number of experiences have raised real questions about how community colleges should be organized. It really turns on the question of political interference with the operation and conduct of community colleges in some of these areas, and there has been some pressure that the State University move to take more direct control over the operation of community colleges in the State. There has been a task force at the State level, which has been working on this problem for the past six or eight months. It recently has come out with a preliminary report. The thrust of the report seems to be to increase the State University's control of the community colleges and as a quid pro quo to increase the State's contribution to community college support. The most disturbing thing to us in reading the report is that it doesn't seem to take cognizance of the difference between community colleges in the State nor recognize that the situation in the City of New York is different from that which exists in other parts of the State. Whatever they try to do for community colleges in general will probably be done for the community colleges in New York City. I think this would be a serious problem for us. We have prepared legislation giving de jure control of the community colleges in the City to the Board of Higher Education, but there may be another bill which works in the opposite direction.

There are other evidences of the increasing interest of the State University in the affairs of the community colleges. The whole problem related to determining priorities for the Construction Fund under our new system which has to go through the State University Board and the question of how these priorities are listed and by whom is going to have some influence on what happens.

There have been some proposals coming out from the State University setting up Distinguished Professorships at the community colleges and Distinguished Teaching Awards that were sent to community colleges in the City as well as outside the City. The appointment or promotion of faculty has been recognized as a function of this Board. I think there is something afoot, and we will have to be alert. I have called a meeting of Community College Presidents at which this will be discussed in greater detail.

Other than this, I have to report that I am continuing my visits to the colleges and have visited five of them this month.

**In reply to a question about the inclusion of students in the collective bargaining negotiations, the Chancellor said:**

The President of the Student Senate, Mr. Shark, and I had serious discussions about this when the negotiations were getting under way. He requested that the students be allowed to sit in at the bargaining sessions. I saw two problems. One was that they had no legal position in the bargaining procedure. The other problem was that we could have brought the students into the bargaining table, but if we did, we would have to present them as part of the City University's bargaining team. That would be a bad move because it would identify them as being on one side of the argument. I suggested to Mr. Shark that another possible way to get them in rather than to have them as members of our bargaining team was to write a letter to me and to the president of the Union, asking that they be permitted to sit in as observers. I responded that the University had no objection. The Union responded otherwise.

**NO. 8. GENERAL DISCUSSION:** The Board received and noted the report by Ms. Ingersoll on the SEEK Housing Support Policy.

NOTE: A complete copy of the report is on file with these minutes in the Office of the Secretary of the Board.

**NO. 9. COMMITTEE ON CAMPUS GOVERNANCE: RESOLVED,** That the Charter of Governance of The City College be approved, as amended, as follows:

EXPLANATION: The Board's Statement of Policy on the Organization and Governance of The City University of New York reads that "The Board's Committee on Campus Governance shall have the responsibility for reviewing plans so submitted to insure compliance with this statement and shall also review existing plans and recommend changes necessary to conform them to the guidelines contained in the Statement."

The Board's Committee on Campus Governance, after consultation with The City College, certifies that its plan conforms to the prerequisites mentioned in the Board's Statement and recommends that it be approved.

Charter of Governance of The City College is amended as follows:

Page 28 - Third paragraph, second sentence to read:

At the Department level, [and] in the Curriculum Committees of the several Faculties, **and the Committee on Educational Policy,** student members are to be included.

Page 31 - Third paragraph, add the following:

**All amendments to this document are subject to the approval of the Board of Higher Education.**

NOTE: Matter in **bold type** is new; matter in brackets to be deleted.

**At this point the Board went into Executive Session.**

Upon motion duly made, seconded and carried, the meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

**N. MICHAEL CARFORA**  
Secretary of the Board